Year of our Lord: 8/14/23; and His time: 13:01.

I've decided to clear confusion (my own) about my crossover(s), some thoughts I've published and some not. I've experienced dimensional transition from residential BigSpace of Sir Isaac and have touched Central Force mechanical lines and curves structuring SmallSpace of our Quantum World.

I do so with CrossOver triangles. BackupS&T1, thingsepilogue23,

ALΣXANDΣR; CEO SAND BOX GEOMETRY LLC

(Fig.1) CROSSOVER TRIANGLES...$(A_iB_iC_i)$: Crossovers link orbit curves of our (M_1M_2) system. They provide mechanical maps to link consecutive displacement closed neighborhoods of (Sir Isaac's S&T2) with respect to (M_1) surface acceleration.

Vertex(A_i) of all Crossover(s) connect with CurvedSpace abscissa(1), regardless of orbit placement (where we plant an S&T2 average energy diameter) on the Domain of **F**. Galileo's meter of space/unit time, his S&T1, meters Uniform Acceleration events. Anchoring all S&T2 CrossOver exploration firmly to (M_1).

Vertex(B_i) of all CrossOver(s) are right angle vertex planted on the (M_2) period time curve.

Vertex(C_i) of all CrossOver(s) complete the hypotenuse (A_iC_i) embedded in linear registration of (M_2) period position with Central Force Field spin.

Consider I want to fall to the surface acceleration curve of (M_1) from macro space orbit#3. To arrive there, I must successfully traverse Gfield displacement neighborhood, (orbit#2), avoiding perturbation of fall by CrossOver $(A_2B_2C_2)$. The Central Force influence operating within the closed neighborhood of Sir Isaac's S&T2.

Proposed parametric transition of (M_1M_2) orbit(s) comprising Sir Isaac Newton's S&T2.



Figure 1

From displaced radius(3), travel period time curve(b) to find curved space coordinates(B_3): $\left(\sqrt[2]{displacement(3)}, reste\ of\ discovery(a)\right)$

Rest energy connection(j) of discovery curve(a), is at spin axis range(1) of surface acceleration (**F**). Have we fallen to surface acceleration curve of (**F**)? No. Spin axis range(1) also presents a new discovery curve(l) and period time curve(p) controlling closed neighborhood potential and motive energy perturbation happenings on the period time curve(p) of orbit curve$(\#2)$. The successful transition of this closed neighborhood influence needs to be addressed.

Using CrossOver $(A_3B_3C_3)$, Figure1, I transition from orbit space curve(3) to orbit space curve(2) control parameters. Using discovery curve(l) and period time

curve(p) found at spin range(1), I fall through S&T2 orbit curve(2) along period time curve(b). Figure2.

From displaced radius(2), travel period time curve(b) to find curved space coordinates(B_2): $\left(\sqrt[2]{displacement(2)}, reste\ of\ discovery(a)\right)$



Figure 2

I use CrossOver $(A_2B_2C_2)$ to fall across perturbation influence that may exist In S&T2. Completing a parametric fall to surface acceleration (M_1) spin axis.

Follow rest energy connection(j) to CrossOver(A_2B_2) produced to Gfield spin. I find rest energy of discovery curve(a). A direct connect with (M_1) spin axis at range(.5). We have escaped closed neighborhood S&T2 of orbit curve(2).

.

Rest energy connection(j) of discovery curve(a) connects at spin axis range$(.5)$ of surface acceleration (**F**). Have we fallen to surface acceleration curve of (**F**)? Yes. We can only sense a gravity connection of the mass/ratio collective comprising *Uniform Surface Accelerations* of (M_1). To lift off Uniform Surface Acceleration(s) and experience Changing Acceleration(s) require immense energy. We are stuck here, glued to the parametric surface of (M_1).

I suspect a new discovery curve beneath the surface. It is definitely a discovery curve providing a collective potential (my weight) below the surface.

We ain't penetrating surface accelerations yet. Need spend time with Galileo's Uniform Accelerations S&T1. Get a fix as to where we are!

We fall no further than discovery curve(a), the surface acceleration curve of (M_1), the curved diagonal of Galileo's S&T1.

A word about CrossOver triangles ($A_iB_iC_i$). Right triangles are the geometric foundation of our civilization. We are a right triangle species of intelligence. Crossover triangle(s) link the analytics of being, connecting curved space Central Force ME with our predictive square space mathematics.

Can we fall further? Can we use discovery curve(n) found with rest energy of discovery curve(a) in figure(2)? Discovery curve(n), anchored at range(.5), is below surface acceleration of the world we perceive. Time to advance exploration of our Quantum World? Time to learn how to pass nuclear parametric ME curves. Can we fall through the abundant space provided by nuclear cracks of surface acceleration?

Part2 to be Continued

ALΣXANDΣR; CEO SAND BOX GEOMETRY LLC

A hint:

(M_1) surface curve(a) is now a collection of Quantum stuff. Micro infinity Z#'s rule here, not displacement space radii of macro infinity.

Now I need discover CrossOvers that carry to ever larger Z#'s. Part2, to be.



Fig.7: imaginary cross over into quantum Earth. Easier on our imagination. More so than packed solar nuclear space.

ALΣXANDΣR; CEO SAND BOX GEOMETRY LLC